**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 08 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

ELIZABETH LAURIE LAPLANTE ANGOUS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 06-30549

D.C. No. CR-05-00346-001-RSM

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington Ricardo S. Martinez, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 17, 2008\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, TROTT, and RYMER, Circuit Judges.

Elizabeth Laurie LaPlante Angous appeals from the 95-month sentence imposed following her guilty-plea conviction for bank fraud, wire fraud, fraud and

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

related activity in connection with access devices, misuse of another person's Social Security number, and aggravated identity theft. Pursuant to *Anders v*. *California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Angous's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**. Appellant's pro se letter, received on November 20, 2008, renewing her request for appointment of new counsel, is ordered filed, and the request is denied. The district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.